

**Corrigendum to RFP Document
(For EPC contract of Highways)**

Clause No.	Existing Clause	Amended Clause												
1.8	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Event Description</td> <td>Estimated Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Proposal Due Date or PDD</td> <td>10.4.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Letter of Award (LOA)</td> <td>21.4.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Signing of Agreement</td> <td>30.4.08</td> </tr> </table>	Event Description	Estimated Date	Proposal Due Date or PDD	10.4.08	Letter of Award (LOA)	21.4.08	Signing of Agreement	30.4.08	Date revised to 16.4.08 28.4.08 8.5.08				
Event Description	Estimated Date													
Proposal Due Date or PDD	10.4.08													
Letter of Award (LOA)	21.4.08													
Signing of Agreement	30.4.08													
2.14.1	The financial proposal The total cost of consultancy (Item G of Form -2).....	The financial proposal The total cost of consultancy (item E of form-2)												
Schedule -1 Clause 2.1 Advisory on EPC Contract	b) Performance standards and specifications of the highway	(b) Specifications for the highway (to be indicated with reference to the Manual of Standards and Specifications as published by the Planning Commission). (c) Performance Standards												
Schedule – 1 Clause 3CPlanning Commission and where experts and shareholders Planning Commission and where experts and stakeholders												
Schedule -1 Clause 4. Time and Payment Schedule	5.1. The total duration for consultation shall be 16 weeks,	4.1 The total duration for consultancy shall be 125 days												
Schedule -1 Clause 4. Time and Payment Schedule	5.2 Table Key Date No. No. of days..... KD ₃ 77 KD ₄ 112	4.2 Table Key Date No. No. of days.... KD ₃ 91 KD ₄ 125												
Schedule-1	5.3 Weekly meetings	5. Weekly meetings												
Annex-5	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>S.No.</td> <td>Date of submission</td> </tr> <tr> <td>KD₃</td> <td>77</td> </tr> <tr> <td>KD₄</td> <td>112</td> </tr> </table> Notes 2. The Consultancy assignment shall be completed in 112 days.	S.No.	Date of submission	KD ₃	77	KD ₄	112	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>S.No.</td> <td>Date of submission</td> </tr> <tr> <td>KD₃</td> <td>91</td> </tr> <tr> <td>KD₄</td> <td>125</td> </tr> </table> Notes 2. The Consultancy assignment shall be completed in 125 days.	S.No.	Date of submission	KD ₃	91	KD ₄	125
S.No.	Date of submission													
KD ₃	77													
KD ₄	112													
S.No.	Date of submission													
KD ₃	91													
KD ₄	125													
Appendix-I, Form-10	Notes Attach a single page summary containing the brief particulars of each project alongwith a photograph thereof.	Notes Attach a single page summary containing the brief particulars of each project.												
Appendix II Form -2	Item No A Item B Overhead Expenses as % of (A)	Item No. A Item No. VIII added -Remuneration for Peer Reviewer Item –B Overhead Expenses @ ----- % of (A).												

Reply to queries
(For EPC contract of Highways)

Sl. No.	Queries / Points raised	Response
1.	Refer Clause 2.2.(D) on Page 6 of RFP: Experience for the position of Procurement Expert has been given as the incumbent should have worked at a senior position in Government at the level of Jt. Secretary or above for a period of 5 years or equivalent position in a public sector enterprise and for the position of Technical Expert it has been given that the candidate should have worked as Chief Engineer for 5 years or more in any Central or State Government Organization. We request the Commission to kindly relax the experience requirements as it is difficult to find people having experience as specified in the RFP.	No change is contemplated.
2.	Refer Clause 2.23.2 on Page 23 of RFP: Clause specifies that on replacement of a candidate there will be a deduction of 20% in the fee for the candidate. We request the Commission to kindly reduce the penalty as in the current engineering scenario it is very difficult to hold anyone.	No change is contemplated.
3.	Refer Clause 2.23.3 on Page 23 of RFP: Clause 2.23.3 specifies that the replacement of Team Leader may lead to the disqualification of the Contract, we request the Commission to kindly remove the clause.	No change is contemplated.
4.	Refer Clause 2.13.6 on Page 16 of RFP: Clause 2.13.6 specifies that the Consultants can propose some more Professional Personnel for the assignment and their CV's need to be submitted alongwith the proposal. In order to obtain uniformity amongst the consulting firms, we request the Commission to kindly provide us the positions as well as man-month inputs for these Professional Personnel.	Only the Key personnel would be evaluated. The requirement of other professional personnel is left to the applicants.
5.	We request the Commission to kindly provide the envisaged man-month input for the various	This is to be assessed by the applicant.

	Professional Personnel proposed for the assignment.	
6.	In order to prepare a comprehensive proposal, we request the Commission to kindly extend the date of submission by atleast three weeks from the date of issue of minutes to the pre-proposal meeting.	Date is being extended by about a week. See amended RFP document.
7.	Financial Proposal: Para 2.14.1, Page 17, Line 3 of RFP mentions that the total cost of Consultancy refers to “Item G of Form 2”, but there is no such item G in Form-2 at page 101 of the RFP containing the Financial Proposal breakdown costs. It may kindly be clarified if some item is missing or it is a typographical error.	See amended RFP document.
8.	Peer Review: As per Page 36 of the RFP, the Consultant is required to propose the name of the peer reviewer and also include the review cost. The Consultants propose that this peer review cost may be included under Form 2 format, page 101 under head of Remuneration for Professional Personnel.	See amended RFP document.
9.	As per page 61, Clause 4.6 of RFP it is mentioned that the Procurement Expert shall serve as the Team Leader and in addition, the Consultant shall designate a suitable person as Project Manager. The Consultants propose to nominate the Technical Expert as the Project Manager since as per page 25, criteria for evaluation there are no separate marks for Project Manager. This aspect may please be clarified.	Accepted.
10.	As per page 36, Point 3.C of RFP, there is mention of two Workshops which are to be organized by the Planning Commission where experts and shareholders are invited. The Consultants presume that they have to cater for the costs towards the presentation material only. This may kindly be confirmed. Further, the number of experts and shareholders attending the workshop may also please ne intimated.	About 30 to 40 participants are likely to attend the workshop.
11.	Page no 25 clause 3.1.3 Criteria for Evaluation Item code 1. 50% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for similar assignments undertaken by the applicant firm. The remaining 50% shall be	The Applicant may indicate any other experience that is relevant for this work.

	<p>awarded for other relevant experience.</p> <p>Kindly elaborate on “other relevant experience”.</p>	<p>This would be evaluated on merits.</p>
12.	<p>Page no 36 Schedule-1 clause 3 (B)</p> <p>The Name of the proposed peer reviewer would also be indicated in the proposal.</p> <p>It is requested that the name of the proposed peer reviewer would be presented before the commencement of work instead at the proposal submission stage.</p>	<p>No change is contemplated.</p> <p>The name should be indicated.</p>
13.	<p>Page no 25 Clause 3.1.2 Criteria for evaluation Item code1. Each Key Personnel must score a minimum of 70% marks. A proposal shall be rejected if the Procurement Expert scores less than 70% score. In case Technical & Legal Expert scores less than 70% he would have to be replaced during negotiations.</p> <p>It is requested to reject the proposals if the Technical & Legal Expert scores are less than 70% scores.</p>	<p>No change is contemplated.</p>
14.	<p>Page no. 23, Clause 2.23 Substitution of key personnel Substitutions shall be limited to one key personnel and subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of planning commission. Such substitution shall be subject to reduction of remuneration equal to 20% of the remuneration specified for the original key Personnel.</p> <p>It is requested to delete this clause.</p>	<p>No change is contemplated.</p>
15.	<p>Page no 37, Schedules-1 clause 5.2 Time & Payment Schedule</p> <p>Description of Payment</p> <p>a) Inception report - Nil</p> <p>b) Draft EPC Contract – 40%</p> <p>c) EPC Contract – 40%</p> <p>d) Completion of services – 20%</p> <p>We request you to kindly consider the following payment schedule</p>	<p>The schedule already provides for 10% payment at the inception stage against bank guarantee</p> <p>No change is contemplated.</p>

	<p>Description of Payment</p> <p>a) Inception report – 20%</p> <p>b) Draft EPC Contract – 50%</p> <p>c) EPC Contract – 20%</p> <p>d) Completion of services – 10%</p>	
16.	<p>Schedule 1 Clause 5.2 Time & Schedule</p> <p>For additional services not exceeding 20 (twenty) mandays, economy return airfare and per diem at the agreed rates shall be reimbursed to the consultant.</p> <p>It is requested to allocate fixed budget for additional services.</p>	<p>No change is contemplated.</p>